

EXERCISES ON METRIC AND HILBERT
SPACES
AN INVITATION TO FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

Alexandru Ghitza*
School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Melbourne

Version of Sat 27th Jul, 2024 at 08:38

*(aghitza@alum.mit.edu)

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	5
2	METRIC AND TOPOLOGICAL SPACES	9

1 INTRODUCTION

The next few exercises are about countability/uncountability. See [Section 1.2](#) for clarification on our use of the term “countable”. You may assume without proof that any subset of a countable set is finite or countable.

Exercise 1.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, with X a countable set. Then $\text{im}(f)$ is finite or countable.

[*Hint:* Reduce to the case $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Y$ is surjective; construct a right inverse $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$, which has to be injective, of f .]

Solution. Without loss of generality, we may assume that f is surjective and we want to show that Y is finite or countable.

Also without loss of generality (by pre-composing f with any bijection $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow X$), we may assume that $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Y$ is surjective.

As $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Y$ is surjective, there exists a right inverse $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$, in other words $f \circ g: Y \rightarrow Y$ is the identity function id_Y : given $y \in Y$, the pre-image $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ is nonempty, so it has a smallest element n_y ; we let $g(y) = n_y$. For any $y \in Y$, we have $f(g(y)) = f(n_y) = y$ as $n_y \in f^{-1}(y)$. So $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$.

In particular, this forces $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ to be injective, hence realising Y as a subset of the countable set \mathbf{N} . We conclude that Y is finite or countable. \square

Exercise 1.2. Show that the union S of any countable collection of countable sets is a countable set.

[*Hint:* Construct a surjective function $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow S$.]

Solution. Write

$$S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} S_n,$$

with each S_n a countable set. It is clear that S is infinite (as, say, S_1 is, and $S_1 \subseteq S$).

For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, fix a bijection $\varphi_n: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow S_n$. (As Chengjing rightfully points out to me, this uses the Axiom of Countable Choice.) Define a function $\psi: \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow S$ by:

$$\psi((n, m)) = \varphi_n(m) \in S_n \subseteq S.$$

This is surjective, and $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ is countable, so S is finite or countable, and we ruled out finite above. \square

Exercise 1.3. Let \mathbf{R}^∞ be the set of arbitrary sequences (x_1, x_2, \dots) of elements of \mathbf{R} .

This is a vector space under the naturally-defined addition of sequences and multiplication by a scalar.

Let $e_j \in \mathbf{R}^\infty$ be the sequence whose j -th entry is 1, and all the others are 0. Describe the subspace $\text{Span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ of \mathbf{R}^∞ . Is the set $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ a basis of \mathbf{R}^∞ ?

Solution. Let $S = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ and $W = \text{Span}(S)$.

For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, define

$$W_n = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} \subseteq W.$$

I claim that

$$W = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} W_n.$$

One inclusion is clear, as $W_n \subseteq W$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

For the other inclusion, let $w \in W$. Then there exist $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$ and $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$w = a_1 e_{k_1} + \dots + a_m e_{k_m}.$$

Set $n = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$, then $w \in W_n$.

Is $W = \mathbf{R}^\infty$? No. Any $w \in W$ appears in a W_n for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$, therefore only the first n entries of w can be nonzero. This means, for instance, that $v = (1, 1, 1, \dots) \notin W$. So S does not span \mathbf{R}^∞ . \square

Exercise 1.4. Let $V = \mathbf{R}$ viewed as a vector space over \mathbf{Q} .

Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. Show that the set $T = \{\alpha^n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is \mathbf{Q} -linearly independent if and only if α is transcendental.

(Note: An element $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ is called *algebraic* if there exists a monic polynomial $f \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. An element $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ is called *transcendental* if it is not algebraic.)

Solution. This is a straightforward rewriting of the definition of algebraic: α is algebraic if and only if it satisfies a polynomial equation with coefficients in \mathbf{Q} , which is equivalent to a nontrivial linear relation between the powers of α , which exists if and only if T is linearly dependent. \square

Exercise 1.5. Let W be a \mathbf{Q} -vector space with a countable basis B . Show that W is a countable set.

[Hint: Use [Exercise 1.2](#).]

Conclude that \mathbf{R} does not have a countable basis as a vector space over \mathbf{Q} .

Solution. Since B is countable we can enumerate it as $B = \{b_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $W_n = \text{Span}\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, W_n is isomorphic (as a \mathbf{Q} -vector space) to \mathbf{Q}^n , hence W_n is countable. I claim that

$$W = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} W_n.$$

One inclusion is obvious, as $W_n \subseteq W$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. For the other direction, let $w \in W = \text{Span}(B)$, so there exist $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$w = a_1 b_{k_1} + \dots + a_n b_{k_n}.$$

Let $k = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$, then $w \in W_k$.

So W is a countable union of countable sets, hence countable by [Exercise 1.2](#).

The last claim follows directly from the fact that \mathbf{R} is an uncountable set. \square

We now turn to posets, Zorn's Lemma, and the existence of bases.

A **partially ordered set** (*poset* for short) is a set X together with a *partial order* \leq , that is a relation satisfying

- $x \leq x$ for all $x \in X$;
- if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ then $x = y$;
- if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$ then $x \leq z$.

A poset X such that for any $x, y \in X$ we have $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$ is called a *totally ordered set*, and \leq is called a *total order*.

Exercise 1.6. Fix a set Ω and let X be the set of all subsets of Ω . Check that \subseteq is a partial order on X . It is not a total order if Ω has at least two distinct elements.

Solution. The fact that \subseteq is a partial order follows directly from known properties of set inclusion.

If Ω has at least two distinct elements x_1 and x_2 , then $\{x_1\}$ and $\{x_2\}$ are not comparable under \subseteq , so the latter is not a total order. \square

A *chain* in a poset (X, \leq) is a subset $C \subseteq X$ that is totally ordered with respect to \leq .

If $S \subseteq X$ is a subset of a poset, then an *upper bound* for S is an element $u \in X$ such that $s \leq u$ for all $s \in S$.

A *maximal element* of a poset X is an element m of X such that there does not exist any $x \in X$ such that $x \neq m$ and $m \leq x$. In other words, for any $x \in X$, either $x = m$, or $x \leq m$, or x and m are not comparable with respect to the partial order \leq .

Exercise 1.7. Let (X, \leq) be a nonempty finite poset. (This just means that X is a nonempty finite set with a partial order \leq .) Prove that X has a maximal element.

[*Hint:* You could, for instance, use induction on the number of elements of X .]

Solution. We proceed by induction on n , the cardinality of X .

Base case: if $n = 1$ then $X = \{x\}$ for a single element x . Then trivially x is a maximal element of X .

For the induction step, fix $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and suppose that any poset of cardinality n has a maximal element. Let X be a poset of cardinality $n + 1$ and choose an arbitrary element $x \in X$. Let $Y = X \setminus \{x\}$, then Y is a poset of cardinality n so by the induction hypothesis has a maximal element m_Y , and clearly $m_Y \neq x$.

We have two possibilities now:

- If $m_Y \leq x$, then x is a maximal element of X . Why? Suppose that x is not maximal in X , so that there exists $z \in X$ such that $z \neq x$ and $x \leq z$. Since $z \neq x$, we must have $z \in Y$. If $z = m_Y$, then $z \leq x$ and $x \leq z$ so $z = x$, contradiction. So $z \neq m_Y$, and $m_Y \leq x$ and $x \leq z$, so $m_Y \leq z$, contradicting the maximality of m_Y in Y .
- Otherwise, (if it is not true that $m_Y \leq x$), m_Y is a maximal element of X . Why? Suppose there exists $z \in X$ such that $z \neq m_Y$ and $m_Y \leq z$. Since $m_Y \leq x$ is not true, we have $z \neq x$, so $z \in Y$, contradicting the maximality of m_Y in Y .

In either case we found a maximal element for X .

An alternative approach is to proceed by contradiction: suppose (X, \leq) is a nonempty finite poset that does not have a maximal element. Use this to construct an unbounded chain of elements of X , contradicting finiteness. \square

Zorn's Lemma ([Lemma 1.3](#)) is used to deduce the existence of maximal elements in infinite posets.

Exercise 1.8. Prove [Theorem 1.2](#): any vector space V has a basis.

[*Hint:* Let X be the set of all linearly independent subsets of V , partially ordered by inclusion. Prove that X has a maximal element B , and prove that this must also span V .]

Solution. If $V = \{0\}$, then \emptyset is vacuously a (in fact, the only) basis of V .

Suppose $V \neq \{0\}$. If $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, then $\{v\}$ is a linearly independent subset of V . Let X be the set of all linearly independent subsets of V , then X is nonempty. We consider the partial order \subseteq on X given by inclusion of subsets.

Let C be a nonempty chain in X and define

$$U = \bigcup_{S \in C} S,$$

then clearly $S \subseteq U$ for all $S \in C$, so we'll know that U is an upper bound for C as soon as we can show that it is linearly independent (so that $U \in X$).

Suppose there exist $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{F}$, and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n = 0.$$

Let $J = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For each $j \in J$, there exists $S_j \in C$ such that $u_j \in S_j$. As C is totally ordered, there exists $i \in J$ such that $S_j \subseteq S_i$ for all $j \in J$. But this means that $u_1, \dots, u_n \in S_i$, so that the linear relation of [Equation \(1.1\)](#) takes place in the linearly independent set S_i . Therefore $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$.

We conclude that X satisfies the conditions of Zorn's Lemma, hence it has a maximal element B . I claim that B spans V , so that it is a basis of V .

We prove this last claim by contradiction: if $v \in V \setminus \text{Span}(B)$, then $B' := B \cup \{v\}$ is linearly independent, hence an element of X . But $B \subseteq B'$ and $B \neq B'$, contradicting the maximality of B . \square

2 METRIC AND TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Exercise 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Show that

$$|d(x, y) - d(t, y)| \leq d(x, t)$$

for all $x, y, t \in X$.

Solution. We need to show that

$$-d(x, t) \leq d(x, y) - d(t, y) \leq d(x, t).$$

One application of the triangle inequality gives

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, t) + d(t, y) \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(x, y) - d(t, y) \leq d(x, t).$$

Another application gives

$$d(t, y) \leq d(t, x) + d(x, y) \quad \Rightarrow \quad -d(x, t) \leq d(x, y) - d(t, y). \quad \square$$

Exercise 2.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Show that

$$|d(x, y) - d(s, t)| \leq d(x, s) + d(y, t)$$

for all $x, s, y, t \in X$.

Solution. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x, y) - d(s, t)| &= |d(x, y) - d(y, s) + d(y, s) - d(s, t)| \\ &\leq |d(x, y) - d(y, s)| + |d(y, s) - d(s, t)| \\ &\leq d(x, s) + d(y, t) \end{aligned}$$

after one application of the triangle inequality and two applications of [Exercise 2.1](#). \square

Exercise 2.3. Fix a prime p and consider the metric space (\mathbf{Q}, d_p) where d_p is the p -adic metric from [Example 2.1](#).

- (a) Let $p = 3$ and write down 4 elements of $\mathbf{B}_1(2)$ and 4 elements of $\mathbf{B}_{1/9}(3)$.
- (b) Back to general prime p now: show that every triangle is isosceles. In other words, given three points in \mathbf{Q} , at least two of the three resulting (p -adic) distances are equal.
- (c) Show that every point of an open ball is a centre. In other words, take an open ball $\mathbf{B}_r(c)$ with $r \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $c \in \mathbf{Q}$ and suppose $x \in \mathbf{B}_r(c)$; prove that $\mathbf{B}_r(c) = \mathbf{B}_r(x)$.

- (d) Show that given any two open balls, either one of them is contained in the other, or they are completely disjoint.

Solution. (a) We have

$$\left\{2, 5, -7, \frac{4}{5}\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_1(2)$$

$$\left\{3, 30, -24, \frac{39}{4}\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{1/9}(3).$$

- (b) Recall that in the proof of the triangle inequality for the p -adic metric in [Example 2.1](#), the following stronger result was shown:

$$d_p(x, y) \leq \max\{d_p(x, t), d_p(t, y)\}.$$

with equality holding if $d_p(x, t) \neq d_p(t, y)$. But this precisely says that if $d_p(x, t) \neq d_p(t, y)$, then $d_p(x, y)$ has to be equal to the largest of $d_p(x, t)$ and $d_p(t, y)$.

- (c) First $x \in \mathbf{B}_r(c)$ iff $c \in \mathbf{B}_r(x)$ (this is true for any metric space). So it suffices to show that $x \in \mathbf{B}_r(c)$ implies $\mathbf{B}_r(x) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_r(c)$. Let $y \in \mathbf{B}_r(x)$, then $d_p(y, x) < r$, so that

$$d_p(y, c) \leq \max\{d_p(y, x), d_p(x, c)\} < r,$$

in other words $y \in \mathbf{B}_r(c)$.

- (d) Consider two open balls $\mathbf{B}_r(x)$ and $\mathbf{B}_t(y)$. Without loss of generality $r \leq t$. Suppose that the balls are not disjoint and let $z \in \mathbf{B}_r(x) \cap \mathbf{B}_t(y)$. By part (c) this implies that $\mathbf{B}_r(z) = \mathbf{B}_r(x)$ and $\mathbf{B}_t(z) = \mathbf{B}_t(y)$, so that

$$\mathbf{B}_r(x) = \mathbf{B}_r(z) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_t(z) = \mathbf{B}_t(y). \quad \square$$

Exercise 2.4. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $X = \mathbf{R}^n$ with the dot product \cdot , $\|x\| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$ for $x \in X$, and $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ for $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d) is a metric space. (The function d is called the *Euclidean metric* or ℓ^2 *metric* on \mathbf{R}^n .)

[*Hint:* The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality can be useful for checking the triangle inequality.]

Solution. We have

(a) $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = \sqrt{(x - y) \cdot (x - y)} = \sqrt{(-1)^2 (y - x) \cdot (y - x)} = \|y - x\| = d(y, x);$

- (b) Let $u = x - t$ and $v = t - y$, then we are looking to show that $\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$. But:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + v\|^2 &= (u + v) \cdot (u + v) = \|u\|^2 + 2u \cdot v + \|v\|^2 \leq \|u\|^2 + 2|u \cdot v| + \|v\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u\|^2 + 2\|u\| \|v\| + \|v\|^2 = (\|u\| + \|v\|)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality sign comes from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

- (c) $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $(x - y) \cdot (x - y) = 0$ iff $x - y = 0$ iff $x = y$. □

Exercise 2.5. Draw the unit open balls in the metric spaces (\mathbf{R}^2, d_1) ([Example 2.4](#)), (\mathbf{R}^2, d_2) ([Exercise 2.4](#)), and (\mathbf{R}^2, d_∞) ([Example 2.5](#)).

Solution. The Manhattan unit open ball is the interior of the square with vertices $(1, 0)$, $(0, -1)$, $(-1, 0)$, and $(0, 1)$.

The Euclidean unit open ball is the interior of the unit circle centred at $(0, 0)$.

The sup metric unit open ball is the interior of the square with vertices $(1, 1)$, $(1, -1)$, $(-1, -1)$, and $(-1, 1)$. \square

Exercise 2.6. Let X be a nonempty set and define

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Prove that (X, d) is a metric space. (The function d is called the *discrete metric* on X .)

Solution. It is clear from the definition that $d(y, x) = d(x, y)$ and that $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$.

For the triangle inequality, take $x, y, t \in X$ and consider the different cases:

$x = y$	$x = t$	$t = y$	$d(x, y)$	$d(x, t) + d(t, y)$
True	True	True	0	$0 + 0 = 0$
True	False	False	0	$1 + 1 = 2$
False	True	False	1	$1 + 0 = 1$
False	False	True	1	$0 + 1 = 1$
False	False	False	1	$1 + 1 = 2$

In all cases we see that $d(x, y) \leq d(x, t) + d(t, y)$. \square

Exercise 2.7. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $X = \mathbf{F}_2^n$, and let $d(x, y)$ be the number of indices $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x_i \neq y_i$. Prove that (X, d) is a metric space. (The function d is called the *Hamming metric*.)

Solution. Do this from scratch if you want to, but I prefer to deduce it from other examples we have seen.

First look at the case $n = 1$, $X = \mathbf{F}_2$. Then $d(x, y)$ is precisely the discrete metric on \mathbf{F}_2 (see [Exercise 2.6](#)), in particular it is a metric. I'll denote it $d_{\mathbf{F}_2}$ for a moment to minimise confusion.

Back in the arbitrary $n \in \mathbf{N}$ case, note that $d(x, y)$ defined above can be expressed as

$$d(x, y) = d_{\mathbf{F}_2}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + d_{\mathbf{F}_2}(x_n, y_n),$$

which is a special case of [Example 2.4](#), therefore also a metric. \square

Exercise 2.8. Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $A \subseteq X$.

- Prove that the set A is open if and only if it is the union of a collection of open balls.
- Conclude that the set of all open balls in X generates the metric topology of X .

Solution. (a) In one direction, if A is a union of a collection of open balls, then A is open by [Example 2.10](#) and [Proposition 2.11](#).

In the other direction, suppose A is open. Let $a \in A$, then there exists an open ball $\mathbf{B}_{r(a)}(a) \subseteq A$. Then

$$A = \bigcup_{a \in A} \mathbf{B}_{r(a)}(a).$$

(b) Follows immediately from the definition of the topology generated by a set. \square

Exercise 2.9. Let Y be a subset of a metric space (X, d) and consider the induced metric on Y .

(a) Prove that for any $y \in Y$ and any $r \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ we have

$$\mathbf{B}_r^Y(y) = \mathbf{B}_r^X(y) \cap Y,$$

where $\mathbf{B}_r^X(y)$ is the open ball of radius r centred at y in X , and $\mathbf{B}_r^Y(y)$ is the open ball of radius r centred at y in Y .

(b) Let $A \subseteq Y$. Prove that A is an open set in Y if and only if there exists an open set U in X such that $A = U \cap Y$.

Solution. (a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_r^X(y) &= \{x \in X : d(x, y) < r\} \\ \mathbf{B}_r^Y(y) &= \{x \in Y : d(x, y) < r\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mathbf{B}_r^X(y) \cap Y = \{x \in X : d(x, y) < r\} \cap Y = \{x \in Y : d(x, y) < r\} = \mathbf{B}_r^Y(y).$$

(b) In one direction, suppose A is open in Y ; by [Exercise 2.8](#) we have some indexing set I such that

$$A = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{B}_{r_i}^Y(a_i),$$

with $r_i > 0$ and $a_i \in A$ for all $i \in I$. We can then let

$$U = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{B}_{r_i}^X(a_i),$$

which by [Exercise 2.8](#) is an open in X . It is clear that $A = U \cap Y$ by part (a).

Conversely, suppose $A = U \cap Y$ with U open in X . Let $a \in A$, then $a \in U$ so there exists an open (in X) ball $\mathbf{B}_r^X(a)$ such that $\mathbf{B}_r^X(a) \subseteq U$. Consider $\mathbf{B}_r^Y(a) = \mathbf{B}_r^X(a) \cap Y \subseteq U \cap Y = A$. So every point $a \in A$ is contained in an open (in Y) ball, hence A is open in Y . \square

Exercise 2.10. Prove that any closed ball is a closed set.

Solution. This is a variation on [Example 2.10](#) and a generalisation of [Example 2.9](#) (which is the case $r = 0$).

Consider $C = \mathbf{D}_r(x)$ with $x \in X$, $r \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let $y \in X \setminus C$, then $d(x, y) > r$. Set $t = d(x, y) - r$ and consider the open ball $\mathbf{B}_t(y)$.

I claim that $\mathbf{B}_t(y) \subseteq (X \setminus C)$: if $w \in \mathbf{B}_t(y)$ then $d(w, y) < t$ so

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, w) + d(w, y) \leq d(x, w) + t \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(x, w) \geq d(x, y) - t = r,$$

hence $w \notin C$. □

Exercise 2.11. Show that any p -adic open ball in \mathbf{Q} is both an open set and a closed set.

Solution. Any open ball in any metric space is an open set (Example 2.10). Let's show that an arbitrary p -adic open ball $\mathbf{B}_r(c)$ is closed.

Let $U = \mathbf{Q} \setminus \mathbf{B}_r(c)$. Given $u \in U$, we have $|u - c|_p \geq r$.

I claim that $\mathbf{B}_r(u) \subseteq U$, which would imply that U is open, so that $\mathbf{B}_r(c)$ is closed.

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists $t \in \mathbf{B}_r(u) \cap \mathbf{B}_r(c)$. Then $|u - t|_p < r$ and $|t - c|_p < r$, so that

$$|u - c|_p = |(u - t) + (t - c)|_p \leq \max\{|u - t|_p, |t - c|_p\} < r,$$

contradicting the fact that $|u - c|_p \geq r$. □

Exercise 2.12. Let (X, d) be a metric space and define

$$d'(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$

Prove that (X, d') is a metric space.

[Hint: Before tackling the triangle inequality, show that if $a, b, c \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfy $c \leq a + b$, then $\frac{c}{1+c} \leq \frac{a}{1+a} + \frac{b}{1+b}$.]

Solution. It is clear from the definition that $d'(x, y) = d'(y, x)$ and that $d'(x, y) = 0$ iff $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$.

For the triangle inequality, apply the inequality in the hint with $c = d(x, y)$, $a = d(x, t)$, $b = d(t, y)$. □

Exercise 2.13.

- (a) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function between two sets X and Y , and let $S \subseteq Y$. Prove that

$$f^{-1}(S) = X \setminus f^{-1}(Y \setminus S).$$

- (b) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function between topological spaces. Prove that f is continuous if and only if: for any closed subset $C \subseteq Y$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq X$ is a closed subset.

Solution.

- (a) We have $x \in f^{-1}(S)$ iff $f(x) \in S$ iff $f(x) \notin (Y \setminus S)$ iff $x \notin f^{-1}(Y \setminus S)$.

(b) Suppose f is continuous and $C \subseteq Y$ is closed. By part (a) we have

$$f^{-1}(C) = X \setminus f^{-1}(Y \setminus C).$$

Then $(Y \setminus C) \subseteq Y$ is open and f is continuous, so $f^{-1}(Y \setminus C) \subseteq X$ is open, therefore $f^{-1}(C)$ is closed.

Conversely, suppose the inverse image of any closed subset is closed. Let $V \subseteq Y$ be open, then by part (a) we have

$$f^{-1}(V) = X \setminus f^{-1}(Y \setminus V).$$

So $(Y \setminus V) \subseteq Y$ is closed, so $f^{-1}(Y \setminus V) \subseteq X$ is closed, hence $f^{-1}(V)$ is open. We conclude that f is continuous. \square

Exercise 2.14. This is a variation on [Tutorial Question 2.7](#).

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and \mathcal{T}_X a topology on X . Define

$$\mathcal{T}_Y = \{U \in \mathcal{P}(Y) : f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}_X\}.$$

- Prove that \mathcal{T}_Y is the finest topology on Y such that f is continuous. (This topology is called the *final topology* induced by f .)
- Let \mathcal{T} be another topology on Y . Prove that $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T})$ is continuous if and only if \mathcal{T} is coarser than \mathcal{T}_Y .
- Use an example to prove that \mathcal{T}_Y need not be metrisable even when \mathcal{T}_X is a metric topology.
- Give an example in which \mathcal{T}_Y is metrisable but \mathcal{T}_X is not.

[*Hint:* For (c) and (d), consider using [Tutorial Question 2.3](#).]

Solution.

- We start with proving that \mathcal{T}_Y is a topology:
 - Since $\emptyset = f^{-1}(\emptyset)$ and $X = f^{-1}(Y)$, it follows that \mathcal{T}_Y contains \emptyset and Y .
 - If $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ is a collection of members of \mathcal{T}_Y , then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(U_i) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i\right) \in \mathcal{T}_X.$$

- If U_1, \dots, U_n are members of \mathcal{T}_Y , then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_i) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i\right) \in \mathcal{T}_X.$$

If \mathcal{T} is a topology on Y such that f is continuous, then $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}_X$ for every member U of \mathcal{T} , so $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_Y$. Therefore, \mathcal{T}_Y is the finest topology such that f is continuous.

- (b) The ‘only if’ part has been proven in part (a), so it suffices to prove the ‘if’ part. Suppose \mathcal{T} is coarser than \mathcal{T}_Y . If U is a member of \mathcal{T} , then $U \in \mathcal{T}_Y$, which implies that $f^{-1}(U)$ is open in X . It follows that f is continuous when the topology on Y is \mathcal{T} .
- (c) Let (X, \mathcal{T}_X) be the set of real numbers equipped with the Euclidean topology. Put $Y = \{0, 1\}$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$. The topology \mathcal{T}_X is defined by the Euclidean metric, but \mathcal{T}_Y is not metrisable (see [Tutorial Question 2.3](#)).

- (d) Put $X = \{0, 1\}$, $Y = \{1\}$, $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be the function sending both 0 and 1 to 1. It follows that $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, \{0, 1\}\}$. The topology \mathcal{T}_Y is defined by the discrete metric (see [Tutorial Question 2.1](#)), but \mathcal{T}_X is not metrisable (see [Tutorial Question 2.3](#)). \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

INDEX

algebraic, [6](#)

chain, [7](#)

maximal element, [7](#)

metric

ℓ^2 , [10](#)

discrete, [11](#)

Euclidean, [10](#)

Hamming, [11](#)

poset, [7](#)

set

partially ordered, [7](#)

totally ordered, [7](#)

total order, [7](#)

transcendental, [6](#)

upper bound, [7](#)