

# Notes on Godement's Notes on Jacquet–Langlands Theory

scribbled by Alex Ghitza in the Number Theory Seminar

Version of Fri 4<sup>th</sup> Sept, 2020 at 17:02

<b>1</b>	<b>Representations of <math>GL_2(F)</math> for a <math>p</math>-adic field <math>F</math></b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	The setup . . . . .	2
1.2	Admissible representations . . . . .	2
1.3	The Kirillov model . . . . .	3
1.3.1	Proof of Lemma 1.3: vector space isomorphism . . . . .	5
1.3.2	Proof of Lemma 1.5: injectivity of $\varphi$ . . . . .	6
1.3.3	Toward the proof of Lemma 1.4 . . . . .	6
1.3.4	Some (further) properties of the Kirillov model . . . . .	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Appendix: Some background</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Non-archimedean local fields . . . . .	11

# 1 Representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ for a $p$ -adic field $F$

## 1.1 The setup

We denote<sup>1</sup> by  $F$  a non-archimedean locally compact field, such as for instance  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  or a finite extension thereof. We let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the ring of integers of  $F$ , and  $\mathcal{O}^\times$  the group of units of  $\mathcal{O}$  (confusingly to the rest of the world, number theorists insist to refer to this as the units of  $F$ ; we'll try to avoid this). We fix a non-trivial unitary character  $\tau$  of the additive group  $(F, +)$ ,  $\tau: F \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ . We let  $\mathfrak{p}$  denote the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ , and we fix a generator  $\varpi$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

The Schwartz–Bruhat functions on  $F$  are

$$\mathcal{S}(F) = \{\mathbb{C}\text{-valued locally constant functions with compact support on } F\}$$

Let  $dx$  be a Haar measure on  $(F, +)$ , normalised so that the Fourier inversion formula looks like

$$\hat{f}(y) = \int f(x)\hat{\tau}(xy)dx \Rightarrow f(x) = \int \hat{f}(y)\tau(xy)dy, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(F)$$

There is an absolute value  $|\cdot|$  on  $F$  satisfying  $d(ax) = |a|dx$ .

Let  $d^\times x$  be a Haar measure on  $F^\times$ , chosen so that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}^\times} d^\times x = 1,$$

so that  $d^\times x = c|x|^{-1}dx$ , for a constant  $c$ .

Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ ,  $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ , so  $K$  is a maximal compact open subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the set of complex-valued locally constant functions with compact support on  $G$ . It is the Hecke algebra of  $G$ , with the convolution product

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_G f(xy^{-1})g(y)d^\times y$$

where  $d^\times y$  is a Haar measure on  $G$  such that  $\int_K d^\times y = 1$ .

## 1.2 Admissible representations

Let  $(\pi, V/\mathbb{C})$  be a representation of  $G$ . We say  $\pi$  is admissible if

- $V$  can be written as

$$V = \bigoplus_{\theta} V(\theta),$$

summing over the irreducible representations  $\theta$  of  $K$ , where  $V(\theta)$  is the  $\theta$ -isotypic subrepresentation of  $V$  (restricted to  $K$ )

- and  $\dim V(\theta) < \infty$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Some of the notation in Godement is (looking for a polite way to say this) “dated.” We chose not to follow it to the letter.

This definition works in both archimedean and non-archimedean cases.

In the  $p$ -adic case, this is equivalent to:

- $\pi$  is smooth, that is for any  $v \in V$  there is an open subgroup of  $G$  that fixes  $v$
- and for any open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , the space of  $H$ -fixed vectors  $V^H$  is finite-dimensional.

An admissible representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  defines a representation  $\pi$  of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  via

$$\pi(f)(v) = \int_G f(g)\pi(g)(v)d^\times g$$

(this is actually a finite sum; consider the open stabiliser of  $v$  in  $G$ ).

Such a representation is called an admissible representation of the Hecke algebra. They can be characterised as follows:

- for any  $v \in V$  there is  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\pi(f)v = v$
- and, for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\dim \pi(f)V < \infty$ .

(This discussion applies for other reductive groups as well.)

### 1.3 The Kirillov model

We aim for a concrete realisation of the irreducible admissible representations  $(\pi, V)$  of  $G$ .

The finite-dimensional case is very simple, so we get it out of the way now.

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $\dim V < \infty$ , then  $V$  has dimension 1 and  $\pi = \chi \circ \det$ , where  $\chi$  is a continuous character of  $F^\times$ .*

This is because  $\ker \pi$  is an open normal subgroup, and notice that it contains  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$  (first see that it contains upper triangular and lower triangular elements for small enough off-diagonal entries, then conjugate by elements of the torus to get anything in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ ).

Let's consider the infinite-dimensional case now. If  $(\pi, V)$  is a representation of  $G$ , a Kirillov model of  $(\pi, V)$  is a representation  $(\pi', V')$  such that

- (a)  $\pi'$  is isomorphic to  $\pi$
- (b)  $V'$  is a subset of the space  $(F^\times)^\mathbb{C}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions on  $F^\times$
- (c) for all  $a, b \in F$  and all  $x \in F^\times$ ,

$$\left( \pi' \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v' \right) (x) = \tau(bx)v'(ax)$$

The big result is:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Any infinite-dimensional irreducible admissible representation of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  has a unique Kirillov model.*

The proof boils down to having a non-vanishing Whittaker functional.

Assume  $(\pi', V')$  is constructed, together with an isomorphism  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V'$ ,  $v \mapsto v'$ , of  $G$ -representations. Define a linear functional  $L$  on  $V$  by  $L(v) = v'(1)$ . It satisfies:

$$L \left( \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v \right) = \pi' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v'(1) = \tau(b)v'(1) = \tau(b)L(v)$$

On the torus, we want

$$L\left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}v\right) = \pi'\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}v'(1) = v'(x)$$

So to actually construct  $\pi'$ , the plan is to

- construct a Whittaker functional satisfying the above
- set  $v'(x) = L\left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}v\right)$

*Proof of Kirillov’s Theorem.* (It would be nice to identify where, in this proof, we use:

- that  $V$  is infinite-dimensional
- that  $\pi$  is irreducible
- that  $\pi$  is admissible
- that  $G$  is  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ )

Let  $C$  be a complex vector space. Consider

$$U = \left\{ \varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, (F^\times)^C) \mid \text{if } w = \pi\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}v \text{ then } \varphi(w)(x) = \tau(bx)\varphi(v)(ax) \right\}$$

To prove the theorem, it is enough to show, in the case  $C = \mathbb{C}$ , that

- there exists  $\varphi \in U$  that is injective
- $\dim U = 1$

Let

$$V_0 = \left\{ v \in V \mid \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-n}} \overline{\tau(x)} \pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}v \, dx = 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0 \right\}$$

**Lemma 1.3.** *Given a complex vector space  $C$ , there is an isomorphism of vector spaces between  $U$  and*

$$\{L \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, C) \mid V_0 \subset \ker L\}$$

Now let  $C = V/V_0$  and let  $L:V \rightarrow V/V_0$  be the quotient map.

To prove the theorem, it is enough to show

**Lemma 1.4.**  $\dim C = 1$ ,

(which will, in particular, imply that  $L$  is not the zero map), and

**Lemma 1.5.** *If  $\varphi \in U$  corresponds to  $L$  under the isomorphism of Lemma 1.3, then  $\varphi$  is injective.*

Godement actually proves Lemma 1.5 first, which seems a bit concerning as  $C$  could, a priori, be 0. However, the proof of Lemma 1.5 also shows that  $V_0 \subsetneq V$ .  $\square$

### 1.3.1 Proof of Lemma 1.3: vector space isomorphism

Given  $\varphi \in U$ , define  $L: V \rightarrow C$  by  $L(v) = \varphi(v)(1)$ .

If  $v \in V_0$ , then for  $n \gg 0$

$$0 = \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-n}} \overline{\tau(x)} L\left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) v dx = \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-n}} \overline{\tau(x)} \tau(x) L(v) dx = L(v) \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-n}} dx$$

so  $L(v) = 0$ .

In the other direction, suppose  $L: V \rightarrow C$  vanishes on  $V_0$ . Define  $\varphi: V \rightarrow (F^\times)^C$  by

$$\varphi(v)(x) = L\left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v\right)$$

If

$$w = \pi\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v,$$

then

$$\varphi(w)(x) = L\left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pi\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v\right) = L\left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & bx \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pi\begin{pmatrix} ax & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v\right)$$

We want to show that the latter is equal to

$$\tau(bx)\varphi(v)(ax) = \tau(bx)L\left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} ax & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) v,$$

which would follow from

$$L\left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v\right) = \tau(c)L(v)$$

which would in turn follow from: for any  $v \in V$  and any  $c \in F$ ,

$$\pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v - \tau(c)v \in V_0$$

in other words that for  $n \gg 0$  we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-n}} \overline{\tau(x)} \pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v - \tau(b)v\right) dx = 0$$

We rewrite the integral as

$$\int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-n}} \overline{\tau(x)} \pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b+x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v dx - \int_{\mathfrak{p}^{-n}} \overline{\tau(x-b)} \pi\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v dx$$

then choose  $n \gg 0$  so that  $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{-n}$  and substitute  $y = b + x$  in the first integral.

So  $\varphi \in U$ .

It's very easy to see that the two maps  $\varphi \mapsto L$  and  $L \mapsto \varphi$  defined above are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear and mutual inverses, so Lemma 1.3 is proved.

### 1.3.2 Proof of Lemma 1.5: injectivity of $\varphi$

Let  $v \in V$  be such that  $\varphi(v)$  is the zero function. Since

$$\varphi(v)(t) = L\left(\pi\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}v\right),$$

we conclude that

$$\pi\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}v \in V_0 \quad \text{for all } t \in F^\times$$

**Lemma 1.6.** *The unipotent subgroup  $U = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$  fixes  $v$ .*

*Proof.* See Lemma 3, page 6 of Godement's notes. □

We now show that the conclusion of the Lemma implies  $v = 0$ .

Let  $H = \{g \in G \mid \pi(g)v = v\}$ . Since  $\pi$  is admissible,  $H$  is an open subgroup of  $G$  so it is not contained in the Borel subgroup  $B = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}\right\}$ . So in the Bruhat decomposition

$$G = B \sqcup BwB,$$

$H$  intersects  $BwB$ . As it also includes  $U$ , it must contain an element of the form  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

But  $U$  and  $h$  generate  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ . Letting  $W = V^{\mathrm{SL}_2(F)}$  we have a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V$  on which  $G$  acts as a commutative group. Since  $\dim V > 1$  (as  $V$  is infinite-dimensional),  $W = 0$ , so  $v = 0$ .

### 1.3.3 Toward the proof of Lemma 1.4

Let  $C = V/V_0$  and consider the space of functions

$$V_* = \mathcal{S}_C(F^\times) = \{f: F^\times \rightarrow C \mid f \text{ locally constant with compact support}\}$$

From here on, we identify  $V$  with its image in  $(F^\times)^C$  under the injective map  $\varphi$ .

**Lemma 1.7.**  $V_* \subset V$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $V_* = \mathcal{S}(F^\times) \otimes_C C$ . Given  $c \in C$ , we set

$$\mathcal{S}_c(F^\times) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}(F^\times) \mid x \mapsto f(x)c \text{ is in } V\}.$$

It is enough to show that  $\mathcal{S}_c(F^\times) = \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$  for all  $c$  in a spanning set of  $C$ .

For  $a \in F^\times$ ,  $b \in F$ , let  $T_{a,b}: \mathcal{S}(F^\times) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$  be the operator given by

$$(T_{a,b}f)(x) = \tau(bx)f(ax) \quad \text{for all } x \in F^\times$$

and let  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathrm{End} \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$  be the subalgebra generated by all the  $T_{a,b}$ . Then, for any  $c \in C$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_c(F^\times)$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{T}$ . It suffices to prove that

- (a)  $\mathcal{S}(F^\times)$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{T}$ -module;
- (b)  $\mathcal{S}_c(F^\times) \neq 0$  for  $c$  in a spanning set for  $C$ .

For (a), consider a  $\mathcal{T}$ -invariant subspace  $S \subset \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ . Given a character  $\chi$  of  $\mathcal{O}^\times$ , let

$$S(\chi) = \{f \in S \mid f(ux) = \chi(u)f(x)\},$$

then (see Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 in the Appendix):

$$S = \bigoplus_{\chi} S(\chi)$$

Let's extend  $\chi$  to  $F^\times$  by setting

$$\chi_*(x) = \begin{cases} \chi(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{O}^\times \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}(F^\times)$  is generated by  $\{x \mapsto \chi_*(ax) \mid \chi \text{ character of } \mathcal{O}^\times, a \in F^\times\}$ .

We now show that if  $S \neq 0$  then  $\chi_* \in S$  for all  $\chi$ . We cannot have  $S(\chi) = S$ . Therefore there exists  $\psi \neq \chi$  with  $S(\psi) \neq 0$ . Let  $0 \neq g \in S(\psi)$ . For any  $a \in F^\times$ ,  $b \in F$ , and  $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ ,  $T_{ua,ub}g \in S$ , so the following function is in  $S$ :

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times} \tau(ubx)g(uaux)\overline{\chi(u)}d^\times u$$

More precisely,  $f \in S(\chi)$ ; for any  $v \in \mathcal{O}^\times$  we have (substituting  $u' = vu$ )

$$f(vx) = \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times} \tau(vubx)g(vuaux)\overline{\chi(u)}d^\times u = \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times} \tau(u'bx)g(u'aux)\overline{\chi(u')}\chi(v)d^\times u' = \chi(v)f(x)$$

Given a character  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{O}^\times$ , consider the Gauss sum<sup>2</sup>

$$\gamma(x, \lambda) = \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times} \tau(ux)\lambda(u)d^\times u$$

If  $\lambda$  is not the trivial character of  $\mathcal{O}^\times$ , then

$$\gamma(x, \lambda) \neq 0 \iff v_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = \mathfrak{f}(\tau) - \mathfrak{f}(\lambda),$$

where  $\mathfrak{f}(-)$  is the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -valuation of the conductor of  $-$ .

We can now rewrite  $f$  as

$$f(x) = g(ax) \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times} \tau(ubx)\psi(u)\overline{\chi(u)}d^\times u = \gamma(bx, \psi\overline{\chi})g(ax)$$

and choose  $b \in F^\times$  so that

$$\gamma(bx, \psi\overline{\chi}) \neq 0 \iff x \in \mathcal{O}^\times$$

and  $a$  so that  $g(ax) \neq 0$  if  $x \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ .

We conclude that  $f$  is a scalar multiple of  $\chi_*$ , hence the latter is in  $S$ , so  $S = \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ .

It remains to prove (b), namely that  $\mathcal{S}_c(F^\times) \neq 0$  for  $c$  in a spanning set for  $C$ ; for instance, we could take those  $c \in C$  with a lift  $g \in V(\psi)$  for some character  $\psi$  of  $\mathcal{O}^\times$ . If  $\chi \neq \psi$ , then  $V$  contains the function  $f$  defined by

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times} \tau(ubx)g(uaux)\overline{\chi(u)}d^\times u = \gamma(bx, \psi\overline{\chi})g(ax).$$

Taking  $a = 1$  and suitable  $b$ , this is a nonzero scalar multiple of the function  $x \mapsto \chi_*(x)c$  that belongs to  $V$ , hence  $\mathcal{S}_c(F^\times) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

---

<sup>2</sup>To justify calling  $\gamma$  a Gauss sum, recall that  $\tau$  is of the form  $\tau(x) = \exp(2\pi i\{xy\}_p)$  for some  $y \in F$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** *For any  $b \in F$  and  $f \in V$  we have*

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f - f \in V_*$$

*Proof.* Let's call the expression in the statement  $g$ . Since

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f(x) = \tau(bx)f(x),$$

we have  $g(x) = (\tau(bx) - 1)f(x)$ .

If  $b = 0$  then  $\tau(bx) - 1$  is identically zero. Otherwise, it vanishes on a neighbourhood of zero.  $\square$

Consider the matrix

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Lemma 1.9.**  $V = V_* + \pi(w)V_*$ .

*Proof.* Look at the span of

$$\bigcup_{g \in G} \pi(g)V_*$$

This is a nonzero  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ , so it must actually equal  $V$  (as  $V$  is irreducible).

But  $\pi(B)V_* = V_*$ , so the Bruhat decomposition gives us

$$V = V_* + \sum_{b \in B} \pi(bw)V_*$$

We conclude by noting that any  $bw \in Bw$  can be written in the form

$$bw = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} wb', \quad b' \in B,$$

and that

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pi(w)v - \pi(w)v \in V_*$$

so that

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pi(w)v \in V_* + \pi(w)V_*$$

for all  $t \in F$  and all  $v \in V_*$ .  $\square$

### A family of operators $C \rightarrow C$

Given a character  $\chi$  of  $\mathcal{O}^\times$ ,  $t \in F$  and  $a \in C$ , define

$$\chi_{t,a}(x) = \begin{cases} \chi(t^{-1}x)a & \text{if } x \in t\mathcal{O}^\times \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin t\mathcal{O}^\times \end{cases}$$

Write  $\chi_a = \chi_{1,a}$ , then  $\chi_{t,a}(x) = \chi_a(t^{-1}x)$ .

These functions generate the vector space  $V_*$ , and any  $v \in V_*$  can be written as

$$v = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{O}^\times} \sum_{\chi \text{ on } \mathcal{O}^\times} \chi_{t,a} \quad \text{with} \quad a = a(t, \chi) = \int_{\mathcal{O}^\times} v(tu) \overline{\chi(u)} du$$



Define linear operators  $J_\pi(t, \chi): C \rightarrow C$  by

$$J_\pi(t, \chi)(a) = \pi(w)\chi_{t,a}(1) = L(\pi(w)\chi_{t,a})$$

Write  $\omega_\pi: F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  for the central character of  $\pi$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\pi(t, \chi)(a) &= L\left(\pi(w)\pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1/t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\chi_a\right)\right) \\ &= L\left(\pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1/t & 0 \\ 0 & 1/t \end{pmatrix}\right)\pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)\pi(w)\chi_a\right) \\ &= \omega_\pi(1/t)\pi(w)\chi_a(t) \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.10.** *For any  $f \in V_*$  we have*

$$(\pi(w)f)(x) = \omega_\pi(x) \sum_\chi \int_{F^\times} J_\pi(xy, \chi)f(y)d^\times y$$

*Proof.* See page 10 of Godement’s notes. □

**Lemma 1.11.** *The operators  $J_\pi(t, \chi)$  commute.*

*Proof.* See Lemma 5, page 11 of Godement’s notes. □

**Lemma 1.12.** *Let  $A: C \rightarrow C$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. If  $A$  commutes with  $\{J_\pi(t, \chi)\}$ , then  $A$  is a scalar.*

*Proof.* The linear operator  $A$  on  $C$  induces a linear operator  $T: (F^\times)^C \rightarrow (F^\times)^C$  by

$$(Tf)(x) = A(f(x))$$

We claim that  $V$  is invariant under  $T$ , and that  $T|_V$  commutes with  $\pi$ , hence (by Schur’s Lemma, which holds for admissible irreducible representations)  $T$  is a scalar, so that  $A$  is a scalar.

If  $f \in V_*$  then  $Tf \in V_*$ . How about  $T\pi(w)f$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} (T\pi(w)f)(x) &= A\pi(w)f(x) \\ &= A\omega_\pi(x) \sum_\chi \int_{F^\times} J_\pi(xy, \chi)f(y)d^\times y \\ &= \omega_\pi(x) \sum_\chi \int_{F^\times} J_\pi(xy, \chi)A(f(y))d^\times y \\ &= \pi(w)(Tf)(x) \end{aligned}$$

So for  $f \in V_*$  we have  $T\pi(w)f \in \pi(w)V_* \subset V$ .

We also see that  $T$  commutes with  $\pi(b)$  for any  $b \in B$ . It remains to check that it commutes with  $\pi(w)$ . We already know that

$$T\pi(w)f = \pi(w)Tf \quad \text{for } f \in V_*$$

Now suppose  $f = \pi(w)g \in \pi(w)V_*$ , we want to show that

$$T\pi(w)\pi(w)g = \pi(w)T\pi(w)g,$$

but since  $\pi(w)^2 = \pm 1$  both sides are  $\pm Tg$ . □

*Proof of Lemma 1.4.* By Lemma 1.12, all the operators  $\{J_\pi(t, \chi)\}$  are scalars, so they commute with all operators  $A: C \rightarrow C$ . Applying Lemma 1.12 once more, we see that all operators  $A: C \rightarrow C$  are scalars.

Together with the fact (from the proof of Lemma 1.5) that  $C \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $\dim C = 1$ . □

### 1.3.4 Some (further) properties of the Kirillov model

- $v' \in V'$  is locally constant and vanishes outside a compact subgroup of  $F$
- $\mathcal{S}(F^\times) \subset V'$  has finite codimension inside  $V'$

# 2 Appendix: Some background

## 2.1 Non-archimedean local fields

We write  $F$  to denote a non-archimedean local field (feel free to think  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ).

We denote the ring of integers of  $F$  by  $\mathcal{O}$ , and the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  by  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We choose a uniformiser (=generator of  $\mathfrak{p}$ ) denoted  $\varpi$ , and we write  $k = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$  for the residue field,  $p$  the characteristic of  $k$  and  $q$  the cardinality of  $k$ .

An *additive character* is a nontrivial unitary character  $\tau: F \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$  of the group  $(F, +)$ . Then  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{p}^d} = 1$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; if we take the minimal possible such  $d$ , the fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{p}^d$  is the *conductor* of  $\tau$ .

For  $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , can for instance take  $\tau$  to be trivial on  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and

$$\tau(1/p^n) = \exp(2\pi i/p^n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

This has conductor  $\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{p}^0$ .

A nice class of functions on  $F^\times$  is the space  $\mathcal{S}(F^\times)$  of *Schwartz–Bruhat* functions, i.e. locally constant functions  $f: F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with compact support. The support of such  $f$  has a cover by finitely many disjoint open balls  $B_i$ , so that  $f$  can be written as a (finite)  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of the characteristic functions

$$1_{B_i}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The unit group  $\mathcal{O}^\times$  acts on the space  $\mathcal{S}(F^\times)$  by

$$(u \cdot f)(x) = f(ux) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}(F^\times), u \in \mathcal{O}^\times, x \in F^\times$$

Given  $f \in \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ , we can consider the stabiliser

$$H = \{u \in \mathcal{O}^\times \mid u \cdot f = f\}$$

This has finite index as a subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}^\times$ .

A *multiplicative character* is a character  $\chi: F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  of the multiplicative group  $F^\times$ . Then  $\chi|_{1+\mathfrak{p}^d} = 1$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ; if we take the minimal possible such  $d$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}^d$  is called the *conductor* of  $\chi$ . If the conductor is  $\mathcal{O}^\times$  then we say the character is *unramified*.

Suppose a finite abelian group  $G$  acts on a complex vector space  $V$ . Given a character  $\chi$  of  $G$ , consider the subspace

$$V(\chi) = \{f \in V \mid u \cdot f = \chi(u)f \text{ for all } u \in G\}.$$

This is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ , called the  $\chi$ -*isotypical component* of  $V$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *We have*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi} V(\chi)$$

where the sum ranges over all characters  $\chi$  of  $G$ .

*Sketch of proof.*

- (a) If  $\chi \neq \mu$  then  $V(\chi) \cap V(\mu) = 0$ .
- (b) Given  $\chi$ , define  $\pi_\chi: V \rightarrow V$  by

$$\pi_\chi = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{u \in G} \chi(u)^{-1} u \cdot -$$

- (c)  $\pi_\chi^2 = \pi_\chi$
- (d)  $\pi_\chi|_{V(\chi)} = 1$
- (e) for all  $f \in V$ ,  $\pi_\chi(f) \in V(\chi)$
- (f) for all  $f \in V$

$$f = \sum_{\chi} \pi_\chi(f)$$

where the sum ranges over all characters  $\chi$  of  $G$ .

□

We need a version of this for infinite groups  $G$ , in a special setting:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group acting on a complex vector space  $V$ , with the property that the stabiliser of any  $f \in V$  has finite index in  $G$ . Then*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi} V(\chi)$$

where the sum ranges over all characters  $\chi$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* We mimic the finite case. We still have  $V(\chi) \cap V(\mu) = 0$  for  $\chi \neq \mu$  (the proof doesn't assume finiteness of  $G$ ).

So we just need to show that any  $f \in V$  can be written in the form

$$f = \sum_{\chi} f_{\chi}$$

where the sum ranges over all characters  $\chi$  of  $G$  and  $f_{\chi} \in V(\chi)$ , with all but finitely many  $f_{\chi} = 0$ .

Let  $H$  be the stabiliser of  $f$  and let  $\chi$  be a character of  $G$ . If  $H \not\subseteq \ker \chi$ , set  $f_{\chi} = 0$ . Otherwise,  $\chi$  induces a character  $\chi'$  on the finite group  $G/H$  and we set

$$f_{\chi} = f_{\chi'} = \frac{1}{[G:H]} \sum_{uH \in G/H} \chi'(uH)(uH) \cdot f$$

Proving that  $f_{\chi} \in V(\chi)$  is identical to (e) in the finite case.

Applying the result of (f) in the proof of the finite case to the finite group  $G/H$ , we get

$$f = \sum_{\chi'} f_{\chi'}$$

and the sum is finite as it ranges over the characters of the finite group  $G/H$ . □

A special case that we use in the discussion of Kirillov models is that of the group  $\mathcal{O}^\times$  acting on  $\mathcal{S}(F^\times)$ :

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$  then the stabiliser*

$$H = \{u \in \mathcal{O}^\times \mid u \cdot f = f\}$$

*has finite index in  $\mathcal{O}^\times$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $H$  contains a subgroup of the form  $1 + \mathfrak{p}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Since any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(F^\times)$  is a finite linear combination of characteristic functions of open balls of the form  $B = B(a, p^m)$ , it suffices to consider the case  $f = 1_B$ . (As the intersection of the stabilisers of all these characteristic functions is contained in  $H$ .)

So we need to show that, given  $a \in F^\times$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , any  $\varepsilon$  in a small enough ball around 0 satisfies

$$|(1 + \varepsilon)x - a| < p^m \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |x - a| < p^m$$

Using the strong triangle inequality, it suffices to have  $|\varepsilon| < p^{m - \max\{m, r\}}$  where  $|a| = p^r$ .  $\square$